

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | . F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. TI-28444 7112 | |
|------------------------|------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 09/302,608 | | 04/30/1999 | ZHENGOU GU | TI-28444 | | |
| 23494 | 7590 | 06/27/2003 | | | | |
| | | ENTS INCORPO | EXAMINER | | | |
| P O BOX 6 DALLAS, 7 | | | | LANIER, BENJAMIN E | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2132 | 16 | |
| | • | | | DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003 | . / | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | 09/302,608 | GU, ZHENGOU | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Benjamin E Lanier | 2132 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | 1 | 1. | ess |
| | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR void abandonment of this applic () a timely filed amendment which | R ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply th places the applicat | to a ion in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | • |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T | ng date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. | n. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the ma | ount of the fee. The appropriate or ignitionally set in the final C | priate extension Office action: or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be | , . | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sim | plifying the |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claims | , |
| $3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject <u>January 2003</u> . | tion(s): The new matter objection | ns to the amendment | : filed 28 |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed a | mendment |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u> | reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet. | idered but does NOT | place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | nd an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | _ | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | • | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | roved by the Examin | er. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other: | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | End B- | |
| <u> </u> | الم | BERTO BARRON | <i>Y</i> |
| • | SUPERVIS | ORY PATENT EXAMINE | Z R |

TECHNULUGY CENTER 2100



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the Zscheile reference does not disclose concatenation is not persuasive because the Zschiele reference discloses two or more sequences being combined (Abstract), and the definition of concatenation, as provided by applicant, defines concatenation as to bring together or connect separate units (combining). Applicant's argument that the Zscheile reference does not disclose all forms of combining is not persuasive because that is not a claimed limitation.